1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION				
3					
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
5	Plaintiff,)		
6	V.) No. 3:07-CR-00159		
7	JAMES C. McWHO McWHORTER, ANT CHAD E. VINCEN)))			
8	LEONARD, RONNIE MACK HAMPTON,) ROGER T. RAPP, DAVE MAYO, JR.,) and SHAWN L. SIMPSON,)				
10	Defendants.				
11))				
12					
13					
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT L. ECHOLS, SENIOR JUDGE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS May 12, 2008				
15					
16					
17					
18	EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23	PREPARED BY:	DOROTHY STILES, RMR,			
24		Official Court Reporter 801 Broadway - Room A-837			
25		Nashville, Tennessee 615.330.1764	3 1 2 0 3		

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	COURTNEY D. TROMBLY
3		Assistant United States Attorney 110 Ninth Avenue South Suite A-961
4		Nashville, Tennessee 37203
5		
6	For the Defendant, BEATRICE L. McWHORTER:	JERRY GONZALEZ Jerry Gonzalez, P.C.
7	BERTINICE E. HOWHONIEN.	2441-Q Old Fort Parkway Box 381
8		Murfreesboro, TN 37128
9		
10	For the Defendant, ANTHONY W. KENNEDY:	MICHAEL JOSEPH FLANAGAN Attorney at Law
11	INTIONI W. KENNEDI.	Cavalier Building 95 White Bridge Road
12		Suite 208 Nashville, Tennessee 37205
13		nashviite, ishmessee evilos
14	For the Defendant,	BOB LYNCH, JR.
15	CHAD E. VINCENT:	Attorney at Law 222 Second Avenue, N
16		Suite 316 Nashville, Tennessee 37201
17		,
18	For the Defendant,	JOHN EDWARD RODGERS, JR.
19	CHASTITY A. LEONARD:	Attorney at Law 500 Harpeth Trace Drive
20		Nashville, Tennessee 37221
21		
22	For the Defendant, RONNIE MACK HAMPTON:	PAUL J. BRUNO Law Office of Paul J. Bruno
23		Bank of America Plaza 414 Union Street
24		Suite 904 Nashville, Tennessee 37219
25		,

1	For the Defendant, ROGER T. RAPP:	JAMES A. SIMMONS Attorney at Law Hazel Path Mansion		
		105 Hazel Path		
3		Hendersonville, TN 37075		
4				
5	For the Defendant, DAVE MAYO, JR.:			
6		810 Broadway Suite 200 Nashville, Tennessee 37203		
7				
8				
9	For the Defendant, SHAWN L. SIMPSON:			
10		42 Rutledge Street		
11		Nashville, Tennessee 37210		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

The above-styled cause came on to be heard on May 12, 2008, at 1:15 p.m., before the Honorable Robert L. Echols, Senior Judge, when the following

proceedings were had, to-wit:

2.3

2.4

EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Be seated, please.

The next case today involves several defendants who are in the courtroom this morning -- this afternoon, rather, for a guilty plea hearing.

The style of the case is United States of America vs.

James C. McWhorter, who is not here. He is the co-defendant,
but his case has been continued.

Secondly, there is Beatrice L. McWhorter, Anthony W. Kennedy, Chad E. Vincent, Chastity Leonard, Ronnie Mack Hampton, Roger T. Rapp, Dave Mayo, Jr., and Shawn Simpson.

Each of them are represented by their attorneys as follows: Beatrice McWhorter is represented by Jerry Gonzalez. Defendant Kennedy is represented by Mike Flanagan. Chad Vincent is represented by Bob Lynch. Chastity Leonard by John Rodgers. Ronnie Mack Hampton by Paul Bruno. Roger Rapp by Jim Simmons. Dave Mayo by Jude Lenahan. And Shawn Simpson by Kathleen Morris.

Each of these defendants has submitted to the Court a proposed *Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea*, as well as a written *Plea Agreement*. I have reviewed those documents

before this hearing and we'll talk about those a little later. Addressing --

2.3

2.4

First, I should say that the Government is represented by Ms. Courtney Trombly from the United States Attorney's office.

I'm sure all the defendants have spoken with their attorneys about this proceeding today. It consists of a number of questions that I must ask to each of you. And it will take some time to go through that, because each of you must answer individually the questions asked of you.

Although by asking all of you being here, the questions will be the same in many cases but will still require your individual answers to those questions. I'll help you along as we go through it, and I think you'll understand that process pretty quickly.

Before we begin, I would like for the courtroom deputy to administer the oath. I'll ask each of the defendants to now rise and raise your right hand.

(Oath administered.)

THE COURT: Be seated, please.

All of you should understand that now you've been sworn to tell the truth in these proceedings. Should you answer intentionally any of the questions falsely, then you could be charged with a separate crime of committing perjury, which means uttering a false statement under oath.

Let me say as we begin that the purpose of this hearing is to make sure that you're competent to plead guilty; that is, you know what you're doing, you understand the consequence of pleading guilty.

I want to make sure that your decision and, in fact, your plea of guilty here this afternoon is being made freely and voluntarily. I want to make sure you understand the nature of the charge or charges to which your plea of guilty is being offered, as well as the maximum penalty provided by law for that punishment. Or in the event there is any mandatory minimum sentence involved, that you understand that as well.

I also want to make sure that there is a basis in fact for your guilty plea. That is, is there an independent basis in fact that you agree to that supports your plea of guilty; which means, of course, that you did commit those acts that constitute the crime for which you are charged in this case.

So let me ask all of you. Do all of you understand that by pleading guilty here this afternoon that you're waiving your constitutional rights to a trial by jury to determine whether or not you are guilty of this crime?

And do you further understand that by pleading guilty here today that after the plea has been accepted you will be considered convicted of that particular crime?

I'll start here with you, Ms. McWhorter. Do you

2.3

2.4

1 understand that? 2 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 4 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 5 (Proceedings continued.) 6 THE COURT: Is your decision to plead guilty a 7 result of discussions that each of you have had with your 8 individual lawyers in this case? And have you told your 9 lawyer all the facts which you believe these charges are 10 based upon? 11 Ms. McWhorter? 12 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 14 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 15 (Proceedings continued.) THE COURT: I'm now going to ask each of you some 16 17 individual information about you individually. And we'll 18 have to go down the line for this. I'll just ask you to wait 19 patiently until I get to you, but we'll go through this 20 pretty quickly. 21 My first questions on this segment of the hearing will be directed to you, Ms. McWhorter. 22 2.3 First, state your full name, please. 2.4 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Beatrice Lynn McWhorter. 25 THE COURT: I'm going to ask all of you to speak up

```
1
         loudly. There's only a couple of microphones. The court
 2
         reporter has to hear every word you say, because she has to
 3
         take it down. I have to hear it, too. And she hears better
 4
         than I. So speak loudly in answer to the questions.
 5
                                        (Proceedings continued.)
 6
                   THE COURT: Next you, Mr. Kennedy.
              How old are you, please, sir.
 7
 8
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: 36, sir.
 9
                   THE COURT: And your full name, please.
10
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Anthony Wayne Kennedy.
11
                   THE COURT: And how much education do you have?
12
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: 14 years.
13
                   THE COURT: Where have you worked in the past?
14
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Construction.
                   THE COURT: Pardon me?
15
16
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Construction.
17
                   THE COURT: What kind of construction work did you
18
         do?
19
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Framing.
20
                   THE COURT: Any other jobs?
21
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.
22
                                        (Proceedings continued.)
2.3
                   THE COURT: The next several questions will be
2.4
         asked to you as a group, but it will require individual
2.5
         answers.
```

```
Have any of you ever been treated for any mental illness
 1
 2
         or addiction to narcotic drugs of any kind?
              Ms. McWhorter?
 3
                   DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No.
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?
 6
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
 7
                   THE COURT: Tell me about that.
 8
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: I went to drug and
         alcohol --
10
                   THE COURT: Speak up.
11
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: I went to drug and alcohol
12
         treatment.
13
                   THE COURT: When was that? Just approximately.
14
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: '94.
15
                   THE COURT: Were you an inpatient?
16
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
17
                   THE COURT: Was that followed by some outpatient
18
         care, as well?
19
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
20
                   THE COURT: Were you able to stay off of drugs and
21
         alcohol after your treatment? Or did you relapse and start
22
         using again?
2.3
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Relapsed and starting using
2.4
         again.
                   THE COURT: What was the drug? Cocaine?
2.5
```

1	Marijuana?			
2	DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Marijuana, alcohol, various			
3	pharmaceutical prescriptions.			
4	THE COURT: How long were you able to stay off?			
5	DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: About four years.			
6	THE COURT: Did you continue to use up until the			
7	time you were arrested in this case?			
8	DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.			
9	(Proceedings continued.)			
10	THE COURT: Are any of you defendants under the			
11	influence of any drug or medication or alcoholic beverage			
12	this afternoon?			
13	Ms. McWhorter?			
14	DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.			
15	THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?			
16	DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.			
17	(Proceedings continued.)			
18	THE COURT: Have all of the defendants received a			
19	copy of the indictment which contain the written charges			
20	against you in this case? The written indictment.			
21	(Affirmative answers given in unison.)			
22	THE COURT: I saw everybody shake their head except			
23	you, Ms. Leonard.			
24	DEFENDANT C. LEONARD: I said yes, sir.			
25	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Let me just ask you			

all individually then.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

Ms. McWhorter?

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: The indictment, as I said, contains written charges against you which represent the alleged violations of law which you plan to plead guilty to by being here today. Have each of you discussed these charges carefully with your lawyer?

(Affirmative answers given in unison.)

THE COURT: All have indicated that you have.

Let me say that the indictment is a legal document, of course, but it is a document that's designed to advise you about the charges to which you must stand trial. The indictment itself is no conviction whatsoever. It's a document to notify you of the charges on which you must stand trial.

Under our law, everyone accused of a crime is presumed to be innocent. Even though you've been indicted, under our law you are assumed to be innocent. In other words, you do not have to prove you're not guilty. Rather, it's up to the Government to prove that you are guilty.

But, of course, by pleading guilty here today you are

```
1
         admitting that you are guilty, so you are relieving the
 2
         Government of its obligation to prove that you are guilty.
         Do all of you understand that?
 3
 4
              Ms. McWhorter?
 5
                   DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir.
 6
                   THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?
 7
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
 8
                                         (Proceedings continued.)
 9
                   THE COURT: Have you discussed with your lawyers
10
         what the Government must prove in order to find you guilty of
11
         these charges against you?
              Ms. McWhorter?
12
13
                   DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: I didn't understand the
14
         question.
                   THE COURT: Pardon me?
15
16
                   DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: I didn't understand the
17
         question. I'm sorry. Repeat it.
18
                   THE COURT: Okay. Have each of you discussed with
19
         your lawyers what the Government would have to prove in order
20
         to find you guilty of the charges against you in the
21
         indictment?
22
                   DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir.
2.3
                   THE COURT: What about you, Mr. Kennedy?
2.4
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
2.5
                                         (Proceedings continued.)
```

THE COURT: The indictment in this case consists of two counts. Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy are named in both counts; the rest of you are named only in Count 1.

2.3

2.4

2.5

The indictment was issued on August the 29th, 2007. The first count is a conspiracy count. Conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons to do an illegal act.

In this case, the illegal act is described in the indictment.

In Count 1, the conspiracy consists of producing false ID documents, which in this case were fraudulent driver's licenses. It also consists of transferring counterfeit securities, meaning those fraudulent payroll checks.

It also consists of transferring the driver's licenses which were manufactured in -- they constituted false identification documents. They had your individual photographs, but they contained the identity of others, to facilitate the cashing of fraudulent payroll checks. So those charges are --

Also included in the conspiracy was to transfer more than five false driver's licenses, as well as making the fraudulent payroll checks.

The second count consists of possessing with intent to transfer five or more false ID documents, which in this case were the fraudulent driver's licenses.

In order to prove you guilty of this conspiracy count, the Government would have to prove certain things. They're

1 called elements of the offense.

2.3

2.4

First, they would have to prove there was, in fact, a conspiracy, this agreement; which does not have to be in writing, but there has to be some understanding or an agreement between the participants.

The participants must be two or more persons. And they have to agree to commit whatever the underlying crimes were. In this case it was manufacturing and possessing these false identity documents and passing the counterfeit securities of various organizations that were reputed to be employers.

Secondly, they would have to prove that you knew what you were doing. That is that you knowingly and intentionally agreed to participate in some way in this conspiracy.

And thirdly, they would have to prove that you or other members of the conspiracy committed at least one of the acts alleged in the indictment to accomplish the goals of the conspiracy.

As I mentioned, only Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy are named --

Excuse me. All of you are named in Count 1. I had that backwards. All of you are named in Count 1. Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy are also named in Count 2. And the rest of you are not named in Count 2.

So the elements I've just read will apply to each of you, each of whom are planning to plead guilty to Count 1.

Do any of you then have any questions about what the Government would have to prove in order to find you guilty of this offense?

Ms. McWhorter?

2.3

2.4

2.5

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: With regard to Count 2 of the indictment -- which, as I said, is a charge of possession of false identity documents; the indictment says five or more false identity documents -- the Government would have to prove the following:

First, they would have to prove that that individual defendant knowingly possessed five or more false identity documents. The indictment says five or more false identity documents, so they would have to prove that you did possess with intent to transfer five or more false identity documents.

Secondly, they would have to prove that you knowingly possessed these. In other words, you possessed them and knew they were false. And that you possessed them knowing they were false, with an intent to use them unlawfully, in some unlawful manner, in order to pass counterfeit securities.

Thirdly, they would have to show that your act of

1 possessing the fraudulent identification documents was or did 2 affect interstate commerce. In other words, you were 3 engaging in some trade activity that affected interstate 4 commerce. 5 Do you have any question, Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy, 6 about what the Government must prove in order to find you 7 quilty of Count 2? DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir. 9 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir. 10 THE COURT: Have your lawyers talked to you or have 11 you discussed with your lawyers any potential defenses that you would have to the charges against you as I have just 12 13 read? 14 Ms. McWhorter? 15 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 17 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 18 (Proceedings continued.) 19 THE COURT: Are each of you satisfied with the 20 advice that your lawyer has given to you up to this point? Ms. McWhorter? 21 22 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 2.3 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 2.4 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 2.5 (Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: Do any of you have any complaints about the investigation that your lawyer has done on your behalf?

Or do you have any complaints about things that he or she has not done that you believe he or she should have done?

Ms. McWhorter?

2.3

2.4

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: With regard to the maximum penalty provided for the offenses to which you plan to plead guilty, I'll first state it with regard to Count 1, which is the conspiracy count. That applies to each of you.

The maximum penalty provided by law is imprisonment for not more than five years. The law also provides that the Court may impose a fine of not more than \$250,000.

The law also requires that after you're released from prison that you may be sentenced to a period of supervised release of not more than one year. And you'll also be subject to a special assessment of \$100.

With regard to Count 2, which applies to Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy, which is possession of more than five or more false identification documents, the maximum prison term is not more than 15 years.

The fine is the same, not more than \$250,000. The

supervised release is a bit longer. You may be sentenced to supervised release of not more than three years.

And, again, there will be a separate special assessment of \$100 for Count 2.

Do either of you, Ms. McWhorter or Mr. Kennedy, have any questions about the maximum penalty provided in Count 2?

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: I'm sure each of your lawyers have discussed with you the possible sentences and the sentencing quidelines, which I wish to discuss with you a bit too.

Let me say first that there is no longer any parole in the criminal justice system, federal criminal justice system. So if you are sentenced to prison for a certain period of time, you'll have to serve all of that time except for up to 54 days of good time credit, which may be earned by you as credit against your sentence.

The good time credit is not automatic, but you may earn up to 54 days of good time credit against your sentence.

However, the 54 days of good time credit does not vest or apply until each year you have served, but you may earn that amount off your sentence.

After you're released from prison, you'll be subject to a period of supervised release, which is a type of probation. But it's different from probation, in that you are supervised

actively by a probation officer of the federal court.

2.3

2.4

During the period of supervised release, you'll have to meet certain conditions. Some of those conditions will restrict you or prohibit you from doing certain things.

Other conditions will require you to do certain things during this period of time.

There are a lot of different conditions. They generally require you to obey the law and behave yourself. And if you do that, you will not have any trouble. By way of example — although I will not read them all, I'll give you an example of the types of conditions that you'll have to comply with while you're on supervised release.

You'll have to report to your probation officer once a month, and at that time you'll be asked to complete a truthful and complete report of your activities. It must be truthful.

You'll have to follow the directions of the probation officer and answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer.

You'll be required to allow the probation officer to visit you at any time, at home, work, or elsewhere.

You'll be prohibited from possessing any illegal drugs.
You'll be prohibited from using any illegal drugs. And
you'll be subject to two periodic drug tests after you're
released from prison and as many other random drug tests as

the probation officer deems appropriate.

2.3

2.4

2.5

You'll be prohibited from leaving the judicial district where you reside without permission of the Court or the probation officer.

For those of you that live in Nashville or this surrounding area, the Middle District of Tennessee comprises 32 counties. Generally, westward to the Tennessee River; eastward to just past Cookeville on the Cumberland Plateau; and from Kentucky to Alabama.

So if you go outside that boundary, you'll have to get permission of the probation officer.

You'll be required to notify the probation officer if you change your residence or place of employment, 10 days in advance of that event.

If you are arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer, you will have to report that event to the probation officer, even if it's for a speeding ticket, within 72 hours.

You will be prohibited from going around or frequenting any place where illegal drugs are possessed, stored, manufactured, used, or administered or distributed in any way.

You'll be prohibited from associating with any person that's previously been convicted of a felony without permission of the probation officer. A felony is an offense that is punishable by more than one year.

1 2

You'll be required to allow the probation officer to visit you at any time, at home, work, or elsewhere. And if the probation officer sees any contraband in plain view, then the probation officer may seize the contraband.

If, as a result of drug tests, you are found to be using illegal drugs, the probation officer may notify the Court.

After a hearing, the Court will determine whether you have violated a condition of your supervised release. If the Court so finds, then the Court may revoke the supervised release and require you to serve in prison up to the entire period of the supervised release.

You'll be prohibited from drinking alcohol excessively.

You'll have to work at some lawful occupation and support your dependents and meet other family obligations.

Those are the types of conditions that will apply during this supervised release period.

Being on supervised release has other consequences, as well. If you do commit another crime while you're on supervised release, you may receive a higher sentence for that second crime because it was committed while you were on supervised release.

Under the guidelines if you have had any prior convictions within the last 15 years, those convictions may serve to increase your sentence for this particular offense.

If you committed this particular offense while you were

on probation, parole, or supervised release from some prior crime that you committed, then that may increase your sentence under the guidelines.

2.3

2.4

2.5

If you committed the present offense less than two years after being released from prison from some prior crime, that may increase your sentence under the guidelines.

If you committed the present offense as a part of a pattern of criminal conduct from which you derived a substantial portion of your income, that may increase your sentence under the guidelines.

You should be aware that probation is not available to the sentencing judge for most federal offenses. The guidelines in federal court also require the Court to impose a fine for each conviction unless the Court finds that you're not in a financial position to pay such a fine.

The guidelines also provide that the Court must impose a \$100 special assessment for each count of conviction.

At sentencing the Court will also have to consider certain things, one of which is restitution. These are fraud claims and the allegations are that you defrauded certain people or entities.

In every federal sentence the Court must consider the matter of restitution. Restitution means to restore or pay back money or property that may be lost by some victim of your criminal activity.

So at sentencing I'll have to consider the subject of restitution to determine if it applies in your individual case; and if so, what amount of restitution should be made a part of your sentence, to be decided by the Court.

I know very little about the facts of your case at this point. But I will have to consider the matter of restitution as it applies to each of you individually in determining what, if any, amount of restitution you should be required to pay as a part of your sentence.

The Court will also have to consider what the guidelines call relevant conduct. Relevant conduct is defined in the sentencing guidelines, but it applies to all circumstances, damages, and other matters related to your criminal activity. And that's true even though you're pleading guilty only to the specific charges in the indictment and, specifically, the counts of the indictment to which you're pleading guilty.

Do any of you have any questions about restitution or relevant conduct as I have described those terms to you?

Ms. McWhorter?

2.3

2.4

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: These are felony offenses to which you are pleading guilty, so the Court advises you that conviction

of a felony will deprive you of the right to vote in the future.

2.3

2.4

2.5

You may not possess a firearm anytime in the future.

This prohibition against possession of a firearm applies for the rest of your life, well beyond the period of supervised release.

This conviction may be counted as one of the necessary convictions should you later be prosecuted for being a habitual criminal.

As you may know, conviction of a felony may make it more difficult for you to be employed by some companies in a certain position.

Now a word about the sentencing guidelines. Again, I'm sure your lawyers have discussed it with you, the sentencing guidelines.

Let me just say first, as an overview, for every federal crime that's committed there is a corresponding sentencing guideline provision. Those guideline provisions have been promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, they've been approved by the United States Congress, and they form an advisory model for sentencing.

And sentencing judges are expected to pay attention and take consideration of those guideline policies in imposing sentence, along with certain factors that are contained in a federal statute.

But, as I mentioned, for every federal crime there's a corresponding sentencing guideline. And that guideline will determine a base offense level or a beginning point to begin the calculations to determine a guideline range for sentencing.

2.3

2.4

In fraud cases, the beginning point or the base offense level is usually driven by the type of crime. And so each of you will have assigned a base offense level.

For most of you pleading guilty to the same count, the base offense level may be the same. I'm sure your lawyers have considered that and your lawyers have discussed it with Ms. Trombly as they prepared the proposed *Plea Agreement* on your behalf. But I suppose you know -- if you don't, I want to make clear -- that I have not been involved in any of those discussions. I don't know what, if any, discussions your lawyers have had with Ms. Trombly.

Ms. Trombly does not work for the court. As a matter of fact, she's not even in the same department. I'm in the Department of Judiciary. She's in the executive branch of the Government. And your lawyers are not part of the court and not part of the executive department either. But they have, I know, discussed it, because I've seen these proposed agreements.

At any rate, there's a base offense level that has been determined for each of you. And that base offense number or

level is stated as a number, a numerical number. Then to that number other numbers or levels may be added, depending on the circumstances of that case.

2.3

2.4

And a number of factors have to be considered and evaluated to determine if they apply in your case and, if so, to what extent. Because the guidelines may give some options as to how many points or how many levels can be added, depending on your conduct.

Some factors are called aggravating factors, which increase that base offense level. That is, they're to be added to that level. And other factors are called mitigating factors, which will reduce that number.

So the Court will have to go over those same calculations and evaluation to determine what final adjusted base offense level you will have. And after we do those additions and any subtractions that need to be made, there will be a final base offense level, which is stated again as a number.

The Court will then look at your criminal history to see if you've had any past criminal convictions. If you have, you may have received what's called criminal history points.

The guidelines allow you to accumulate up to three criminal history points for any past conviction. The points are added from one to three. Sometimes you get no criminal history points; but if you do, you get from one to three,

depending on the severity of the punishment you received for that past conviction.

The Court will add up all the criminal history points you may have accumulated for your past convictions, and then you will be assigned into a criminal history category.

There are six criminal history categories, with I being the lowest and VI being the highest. If you have over one criminal history point, you automatically are assigned to the next criminal history category, II. I is the lowest. VI is the highest. If you have 13 or more, you are in the highest criminal history category, VI.

Then there's a table that transposes your adjusted base offense level and your criminal history category into a guideline range for sentencing, which is stated from a minimum number of months to a maximum number of months. And that represents your advisory guideline range for sentencing.

The Court is not obligated by law to sentence you within that guideline range. I will say that most sentences are within that guideline range, but there's no guarantee that yours will be.

Have each of you discussed with your lawyers the guidelines, the federal sentencing guidelines, and the guideline range that will be applicable to your particular case?

Ms. McWhorter?

2.3

2.4

1 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 3 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 4 (Proceedings continued.) 5 THE COURT: Let me ask the lawyers. 6 Do all of you have the originals of the Petition to 7 Enter a Guilty Plea and Plea Agreement? 8 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: All right. Would each of you put that 10 document in front of the defendant, so I can point out some 11 things to them just to make sure that they understand. 12 they have questions, they can ask each of you. 13 I'll say to the defendants if you do have questions, 14 then you may simply turn or motion for your lawyer to come 15 and speak to you about that. 16 I have reviewed these, and most of these Petitions to 17 Enter a Guilty Plea and Plea Agreements are very similar. 18 Although there may be some differences that I did not detect, 19 because I didn't go over them as closely as I would if I was 20 looking just to see any differences. 21 What I'm going to ask all of you to do is look first at 22 this Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea, which may be the first 2.3 document. And you'll see --2.4 I'm looking now at Ms. McWhorter's document. On Page 2 2.5 of your Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea, in the middle of the page, it says that your lawyer has estimated that your adjusted base offense level will be 19. And that at this point, based on the information that Mr. Gonzalez knows, you'll be in Criminal History Category II, which would result in a guideline range for sentencing of from 33 to 41 months.

2.3

2.4

It goes on to say that this is just an estimate by your lawyers. It's not guaranteed and it's not a promise. It's based on the best information that he has at this time.

And then if you will -- your petition runs through Page 7. The next page should be the beginning of what's called a Plea Agreement, which represents an agreement. And it will represent for each of you an agreement between yourselves, your lawyer, and Ms. Trombly about your guilty plea in this case.

The Plea Agreement has a more detailed calculation of the guideline range in your case. And, again, I'm on Ms. McWhorter's, and I'm looking at Page 7. And there's a beginning discussion of the sentencing guideline calculations.

And, of course, Ms. McWhorter and Mr. Kennedy are charged in both Counts 1 and 2. And under the provisions of the guidelines, there are considerations for what's called grouping of counts that are considered to be related. And under those guidelines, Counts 1 and 2 will be grouped together for purposes of calculating the guideline range

because they're commonly connected with this criminal objective and common plan or scheme.

2.3

2.4

2.5

So the guidelines say that when you do this, you have to make these separate calculations. But they're grouped, and you pick the one with the largest sentencing guideline range.

But it starts with a base offense level of six. You see in 11(a) (ii), it says the base offense level for the group is six.

Then there is an increase, which is an aggravating factor, because of the amount of the loss. It says because the loss in this case was greater than \$200,000 but less than \$400,000. That's a range that assigns 12 points. It could be higher or lower, depending on what that intended loss or actual loss may be.

Then two additional points, it's recommended, would be added because there were more than 10 victims. That's another aggravating -- that's at the top of Page 8.

And an additional two points because sophisticated means were used to carry out the crime. In this case, the execution of the fraudulent scheme.

And then on (vi) it says it's recommended that you receive a three-point reduction because you've cooperated and accepted your responsibility for participating in this crime. By doing so, you've avoided the necessity of further investigation by the Government and the time and expense

required to prepare for trial. So you get a three-level reduction for that.

2.3

2.4

2.5

When considering the base offense level and the additions and subtractions, that reduces your base offense level to an adjusted base offense level of 19.

Then it looks at your criminal history. As you see, you have had prior convictions. And those convictions result in some criminal history points. I don't know how many, but enough to put you in Criminal History Category II.

So that results in an anticipated advisory sentencing range of from 33 to 41 months. That's under (b) in the middle of the page on Page 9.

Again, that's your -- in this case, it's not just your lawyer, it's what your lawyer and Ms. Trombly believe should be the appropriate sentencing guideline range in your case based on the information that they know at this particular time.

Do you have any question about any of that?

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, yours should be the same.

You've got a *Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea*. That should be the first document. And at the top of Page 2, yours does not state a --

Your petition does not state a specific estimate by your lawyer. It simply says your lawyer has given you an estimate

of the guideline range that may apply in your case. You realize that it's an estimate, but no specific range is stated as it was in Ms. McWhorter's case.

2.3

2.4

2.5

Then if you turn over past Page 6, you'll get to the Plea Agreement. And on Page 7, there is again a discussion of your individual guideline calculations that your lawyer and Ms. Trombly have discussed. And again it says that your Counts 1 and 2 should be grouped. That's at the bottom of Page 7.

And at the top of Page 8 it says your base offense level should be six. That is the beginning point. As with Ms. McWhorter, 12 points should be added because the loss was greater than \$200,000 but less than 400.

Another two points because there are more than two victims. Another two points because sophisticated means were used in carrying out the fraudulent scheme. And then a subtraction of three points because of your --

No. Excuse me. Under (vi) it says that there will be an additional three points because you acted as a manager or supervisor of the criminal activity; that is, of the conspiracy. And that the conspiracy was extensive and involved more than five participants.

That's another aggravating factor contained in the guidelines. So there will be three additional points added for that.

And then under (vii) on Page 8, the last paragraph, it's recommended that you get a three-point reduction as well.

2.3

2.4

2.5

On the top of Page 9 is the criminal history calculation. It notes certain crimes that you've had in the past. And you have accumulated sufficient criminal history points to put you in the next category, Criminal History Category III.

So your adjusted base offense level is 22. And with a Criminal History Category of III, you have an anticipated or recommended advisory guideline range of from 51 to 63 months.

And you see there's a fine -- an estimated fine there, too.

Do you have any questions about any of the recommended calculations that you and your lawyer and Ms. Trombly are recommending?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: Let me finish here.

I don't have to agree with their recommendation. Now, all of your lawyers are experienced. I know them. I've seen them here in the courtroom. They know the sentencing guidelines. I know they're giving you their best knowledge.

Ms. Trombly has been prosecuting cases for a while. And they're making a recommendation to me. I don't have to accept their recommendation.

Mr. Lenahan is saying he just found out some information he didn't know before. I don't know whether it affects his agreement with Ms. Trombly or not. I'll let them talk about that.

MR. LENAHAN: It won't affect the agreement, Your Honor, at all.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. LENAHAN: If you want me to make a footnote there, I will. But it's just something I found out.

THE COURT: Well, you might want to do that, just because you found out about it.

Let me just tell the defendants one other thing. After this guilty plea hearing, the United States Probation

Department will assign each of you a probation officer to prepare what's called a *Presentence Investigative Report*.

And that information will have a lot of background information about each of you. Its purpose is to aid me in sentencing.

So it will have personal information about you. Not just about this conspiracy or this charge, but it will have a lot of personal information about you, about your families, your upbringing, your mothers and fathers, brothers and sisters if you have any, any marriages that you may have, any children that you may have, where you've worked, your health, your finances.

It will have a factual statement about how this scheme developed and how it was played out and your part in it. And then it will have a recommended calculation of your guideline range for sentencing, just as we've gone over. But they do that independently of what your lawyer and Ms. Trombly have

2.3

2.4

done.

So I'll get that. I'll have your agreement with the Government. So that will all be before me at the sentencing hearing. But before the sentencing hearing, you and your lawyer will get a copy of that investigative report, prepared by your probation officer. And you'll have a chance to review it with your lawyer. You'll have a chance to go over the facts in that report and see if you agree with them.

If you have any objections, you, through your lawyer, can take it up with the probation officer that prepared the report and see if you can correct anything that you think is in error.

If you are not able to correct any objection that you may have to the report, then you can take it up at the sentencing hearing before I impose sentence. And I will decide whether to change it or not after I hear from you and I hear from the probation officer and the Government.

But in the final analysis, it will be I, as the sentencing judge, that will determine each of your guideline ranges for sentencing, which is an advisory range. It's not

mandatory. But I'll have to establish an advisory guideline range for sentencing. And then it will be up to me to determine what individual sentence is to be imposed in each of your cases.

And I will consider it individually. Even though you may be convicted of the same crime, you all are different. You may get the same sentence, but you may not.

But there will be this investigative report. And it's prepared independently of the Government. And it's independent of the Court, although the probation department is an arm of the United States District Court.

Do any of you have any question about how that process will work with regard to the probation officer?

(Negative answers given in unison.)

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and tell you that in order to gather this personal background information from each of you, it will be necessary to sit down with you for an interview. Otherwise, they wouldn't know. So they'll ask for an interview with each of you and you'll be sworn to tell the truth.

If you intentionally answer falsely in any of the answers that you give to the questions asked by the probation officer, that may increase your sentence under the guidelines.

If you want your lawyer to be present when you're

2.3

2.4

1 interviewed by the probation officer, then you can request 2 your lawyer to be present when you're interviewed to gather 3 this background information and your lawyer can be present at 4 that time. 5 Do any of you have any questions about the Presentence 6 Investigative Report and how it's prepared and how it will be 7 used to assist me at sentencing? 8 Any questions? 9 (Negative answers given in unison.) 10 THE COURT: I see there are none. 11 I've gone over these calculations in these Plea 12 Agreements because I want to make sure that you understand 13 them generally. You have to rely largely on your lawyer, 14 because it's a complicated process in learning those things. 15 But you've all indicated --16 Let me ask again. Have all of you gone over these 17 calculations as to your recommended sentencing guideline 18 range with each of your lawyers? 19 MS. MORRIS: Your Honor, excuse me. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MS. MORRIS: You haven't gone through Ms. Simpson's 22 yet. 2.3 THE COURT: Pardon me? 2.4 MS. MORRIS: I don't believe you've gone over

Ms. Simpson's Plea Agreement yet.

2.5

1 THE COURT: I have not? Okay. Thank you. Well, I 2 haven't gotten to her yet. Excuse me, Ms. Simpson. 3 Thank you. 4 (Proceedings continued.) 5 THE COURT: Have each of you read these documents, 6 the Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea and the Plea Agreement? 7 Have each of you gone over those documents and do you 8 believe -- you've read them carefully and you believe you 9 understand them? 10 Let me just say this before you answer the question. 11 These are legal documents, but they're prepared by lawyers. 12 You've heard Mr. Rodgers say that the Plea Agreement was 13 prepared by the Government and submitted to him for approval. 14 But ultimately, each of these documents will be signed 15 They represent your agreement to plead guilty in the 16 case. And with regard to the Plea Agreement, it represents 17 your agreement with the Government about the recommendations 18 that are being made by you and the Government to the Court. 19 Have each of you read these documents carefully? And do 20 you believe you understand them? Ms. McWhorter? 21 22 Yes, sir. DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: 2.3 THE COURT: Have you read it and do you believe you 2.4 understand it? 2.5 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 2 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 3 (Proceedings continued.) 4 THE COURT: I'm going to ask this as a follow-up 5 question. 6 Have you not only read these documents yourself, 7 Ms. McWhorter, but have you discussed these documents 8 carefully with your lawyer? 9 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: And Mr. Kennedy? 11 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. (Proceedings continued.) 12 13 THE COURT: If for some reason the Court doesn't 14 accept your Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea and your Plea 15 Agreement, you'll be able to change your plea from quilty to 16 not quilty. 17 On the other hand, if I do accept your petition and your 18 Plea Agreement, you will not be able to change your plea of 19 quilty to not quilty, even though I may not agree with all of 20 the recommendations that are made to me on your behalf. 21 Do you understand that, Ms. McWhorter? 22 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 2.3 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 2.4 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 25 (Proceedings continued.)

1 THE COURT: I now want to ask whether or not any of 2 you are on probation, supervised release, or parole from any 3 prior sentence. 4 To repeat, are you presently under -- are you presently 5 on probation or parole or supervised release from any prior 6 offense? 7 Ms. McWhorter? 8 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 10 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: Is that from some conviction in state 12 court? 13 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Kennedy, that by 15 pleading quilty to this crime that that may be a violation of 16 your parole from the state offense? 17 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And if it is, the state would have an 18 19 option to revoke your probation and require you to serve the 20 balance of your sentence in that state case. 21 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 2.3 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 2.4 (Proceedings continued.) 2.5 THE COURT: Knowing all the penalties and

implications of a guilty plea, do all the defendants still wish to plead guilty?

Ms. McWhorter?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: I know your lawyers have talked to you about your right to a jury trial. All of you have a right, as provided in the Constitution, to a jury trial.

All persons accused of a crime are entitled to a jury trial and a speedy trial; a trial where you're represented by a competent lawyer; a trial in which you are afforded the opportunity for compulsory process, which means you have a right to have subpoenas issued to require witnesses to come and testify on your behalf, whether they want to come or not.

And if you pled not guilty, which you have a constitutional right to do, you have a right, of course, to require the Government to try to prove you're guilty. And they would have to do that not before me, but before a jury.

A jury would consist of citizens from this division of this district court. If you pled not guilty, the Court would set a trial date and the jury administrator from this court would summon a panel of prospective potential jurors. They would come to court on the trial date. They would all come here and we would have them sworn to tell the truth to questions asked of them. Then we would seat them one by one. First, in the jury box. And we would have more than those seats in the jury box, so in the first row of seats and then over on the benches.

2.3

2.4

Through a process of questions by the Court and lawyers for both sides, we would qualify those jurors. That is, we would determine whether or not we determine they are qualified in the sense that they would be able to listen to the evidence and make an objective finding of the facts in the case and follow the law that the Court directs them applies in the case.

The law allows the Court to dismiss jurors if the Court doesn't believe that they can be objective to both sides of the case and be fair.

In addition to that, the Court grants to both sides the right to challenge certain jurors that they don't believe could be entirely objective in the case. If the Court agrees, then those jurors would simply be dismissed. There's an unlimited number of challenge for cause, such as that.

And the law also gives both sides a right for the lawyers for both sides to use their discretion in dismissing jurors that they don't think would be appropriate in the case. That is, they don't believe they would have an open mind to their side of the case.

When all of that process is completed, we would select 12 jurors. That would be the jury in the case, along with some alternates -- usually two, sometimes more. And that would be the group of citizens that would hear the evidence presented by the Government.

And the trial would then proceed by both sides, the Government and then each of your lawyers, giving an opening statement about what the charges are and what the defenses are. And then the Government would try to prove their case by calling witnesses who would be sworn here in court and take the witness stand right here and testify in front of the jury about what they believe the facts are. That is, what they believe you did that constitutes this crime.

Any person that testified for the Government would be subject to cross examination by your own lawyer. The Government could not call you as one of its witnesses. You also have a constitutional right under the Fifth Amendment not to give incriminating evidence against yourself.

After all the Government's witnesses have testified, then you would have a chance to put on your own defense. In that regard you have a right to testify in your own defense, if you wish. You have a right to call witnesses if you so wish, which the Court would require to come to court and testify.

If you or any witness took the stand, the Government

would have a right to cross examine them.

2.3

2.4

After all the proof is in, the lawyers would give their final arguments to the jury to try to point out those things in the proof that they believe support their side of the case.

After the final arguments, the Court would read the jury the law that applies in the case. And then the jury would be excused from the courtroom to deliberate, to determine whether the Government has met its high standard of proof, of proving you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

All verdicts must be unanimous. There's no majority verdicts. So unless each of the 12 jurors was convinced that the Government has met its burden of proof in proving each of these separate elements that I read earlier beyond a reasonable doubt, then they couldn't find you guilty of that offense. If they did, then the jury would have convicted you of that offense.

I remind you of that, although I know your lawyers have talked to you about your right to a jury trial. That's how a jury trial, in a general way, would be conducted.

But it's important that you understand here today, before we finalize this proceeding, that by pleading guilty here today there will not be any jurors. There will not be even a trial date nor jurors. There won't be any witnesses. There will be no opportunity for the lawyers to even argue

whether you did this crime or not, because you're admitting today that you did commit the crime.

In fact, there will only be one other hearing. That will be a final sentencing hearing that will be set by the Court at a later date, in about 90 days or so.

Do any of you have any question about pleading guilty and waiving your right to a jury trial?

Ms. McWhorter, do you have any questions?

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir.

(Proceedings continued.)

THE COURT: Before I complete the hearing, I want to point out a couple of other things in these documents and give you a chance to talk to your lawyers about any questions you have about that.

First, all of these conditions are important. And by pointing out just --

I talked about the calculations. That's to make sure if you have any questions, you can ask them to me or discuss them with your lawyer. But the *Plea Agreement* has a couple of other things I want to make sure you understand fully.

First, each of you have in your *Plea Agreement* a section called *Factual Basis*. I'm just looking at Ms. McWhorter's. They're all at about the same place.

The facts are a little bit different, as I recall, as I read them, because all of you did not do the same things.

The scheme was generally the same. The fraudulent scheme was the same, but all of you did not do the exact same things.

2.3

2.4

But there is a Factual Basis. In Ms. McWhorter's case, it begins on Page 4, at the bottom of Paragraph 9. And then there follows some facts that relate to Count 1. Then there's some facts that relate to Count 2.

Now, as we've heard, these documents have been prepared by the lawyers in the case. But, as I said, they represent your agreement. You'll be asked to sign them. And by signing this agreement, you'll be agreeing that those facts are true, because I will be relying on those facts to accept your guilty plea.

So each of you have a statement of fact. The facts are similar, but there are some differences because you all operated -- you didn't all have the same role in the offense. But there is a statement in those facts about what -- not only what all the scheme and the conspiracy was about, but what you did in participating in the conspiracy.

There's also a section regarding restitution. On Ms. McWhorter's, it's on Page 13, at the bottom, beginning at Paragraph 23. But there's some victims that are identified, along with the amount of the loss. That will be subject to restitution.

I can't say for sure that all these victims or the amounts are the same for each of the agreements, but you should look at them. I'm sure your lawyers have looked at them. But I'll call it to your attention because restitution will be an issue in the case, it seems to me at this point.

2.3

2.4

2.5

And I will rely on this recommendation made by your lawyer and the Government's lawyer about restitution, along with the report from the probation officer about what he or she recommends would be the restitution for which you might be held accountable in this case, along with any other evidence that may be presented to me at the sentencing hearing.

I do note that in some cases it's stated -- in Ms. McWhorter's case, at the bottom of Page 14, in the last paragraph, The defendant acknowledges that the above chart may not contain each and every victim, as some victims are still unknown to the Government at this time. Though the restitution is still being determined and apportioned, the defendant understands and acknowledges that court-ordered restitution to identified victims could amount to more than \$70,000, the acknowledged amount of the loss.

Now, in the guideline calculations, one of the enhancing factors is that the loss is greater than \$200,000 but less than \$400,000. That's because of the -- I guess the number of checks cashed.

As I say, I don't know all the facts about this case at all. I don't know that that is any sort of contradiction, but I do know that 12 points are being added because the loss falls in between \$200,000 and \$400,000 under that section of the guidelines.

2.3

2.4

Next I want to call your attention to the section on Waiver of Appellate Rights, which is on Page 16, Paragraph 28 in Ms. McWhorter's. It may be at a different page, but it's generally toward the back of the agreement. And in that section, you are waiving most of your appeal rights.

Now, the Government is also waiving most of its appeal rights in this same paragraph. But it states that you understand, under Paragraph 28 of Ms. McWhorter's, on Page 16 — and all the language is similar. You understand that by signing this agreement and pleading guilty, you are waiving all the appellate rights that would have been available to you if you exercised your right to go to trial.

Well, of course, none of you are going to trial, so you don't have those same appeal rights. You are pleading quilty.

You also agree that you will not file any appeal from the sentence imposed by the Court at your sentencing hearing; nor litigate the amount that you receive by the Court after you begin to serve your sentence under the statutes that are stated in this paragraph, including any right you may have to

file a writ of habeas corpus, as to any sentence within or below the anticipated guideline range.

And the Government also waives any right to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court so long as the sentence is within or above the anticipated guideline range -- within or above the guideline range or below the guideline range if the Government has moved for a downward departure.

And this agreement is binding on both parties. However, your waiver of appeal rights is not complete. That is, you do reserve certain rights to appeal. And that's at the bottom of this paragraph.

The rights of appeal or the grounds for appeal are reserved so long as it involves a claim of involuntariness of your plea of guilty, which we're taking a lot of time here to make sure that your plea of guilty is voluntary.

But if you have a claim on the involuntariness of your guilty plea or if you believe the Government has engaged in prosecutorial misconduct or if you believe you've received ineffective assistance of your own counsel, then you may file an appeal. So I'll call those additional provisions to your attention.

Do any of the defendants have any question about these additional procedures that I have just mentioned to you?

Ms. McWhorter?

DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir.

2.3

2.4

1 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir. 2 3 (Proceedings continued.) 4 THE COURT: Do these documents, the Petition to 5 Enter a Guilty Plea and the Plea Agreement, contain every 6 understanding that you have with your lawyer and with the 7 Government as it relates to the Plea Agreement about your 8 plea of guilty in the case? 9 Do they contain completely every understanding you have 10 about your plea of guilty in the case? Ms. McWhorter? 11 12 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 14 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 15 (Proceedings continued.) THE COURT: Has anyone undertaken to promise you, 16 17 guarantee you, or suggest to you what specific sentence you 18 will receive in this case, other than estimating the 19 quideline range? 20 Ms. McWhorter? 21 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 2.3 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir. 2.4 (Proceedings continued.) 25 THE COURT: Has anybody put any pressure on you,

1 physically or psychologically, in order to get you to plead 2 quilty in the case? Ms. McWhorter? 3 4 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 6 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir. 7 (Proceedings continued.) 8 THE COURT: Have any of you had any alcoholic 9 beverage within the last 24 hours? Or have any of you taken 10 any drugs, medicine, pills, hallucinogens, or anything else 11 containing a narcotic or mind-altering substance, other than ones that have been mentioned for depression and one for this 12 13 mental imbalance situation? 14 Anything else that any of you have taken in that nature within the last 24 hours? 15 Ms. McWhorter? 16 17 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: No, sir. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 19 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: No, sir. 20 (Proceedings continued.) 21 THE COURT: Are all of your minds clear and you 22 know exactly what you're doing by pleading guilty here this 2.3 afternoon? Ms. McWhorter? 2.4 2.5 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir.

```
1
                   THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy?
 2
                   DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
                                         (Proceedings continued.)
 3
 4
                   THE COURT: I'll ask all the lawyers these
 5
         questions.
 6
              First, do each of you believe your respective client is
 7
         competent to enter a plea of guilty?
 8
              Secondly, have you discussed with them the advantages,
 9
         as well as the disadvantages, of a jury trial?
10
              And third, do you believe your client is making a
11
         knowingly and voluntary waiver of his or her right to a jury
12
         trial?
13
              Mr. Gonzalez?
14
                   MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.
15
                   THE COURT: Mr. Flanagan?
16
                   MR. FLANAGAN: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                                         (Proceedings continued.)
18
                   THE COURT: Do all of you believe --
19
              Let me ask it this way. Has anything come to your
20
         attention which raises a question at all about the competence
         of your client to waive a jury trial?
21
22
              Mr. Gonzalez?
2.3
                   MR. GONZALEZ: No, sir.
2.4
                   THE COURT: Mr. Flanagan?
2.5
                   MR. FLANAGAN: No, Your Honor.
```

1 (Proceedings continued.) 2 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Trombly, I don't have any 3 questions for you. I'm assuming the Government is relying on 4 the written statements of the facts by each of the defendants 5 to support their respective pleas of guilty. 6 MS. TROMBLY: That's correct, Your Honor. 7 you. 8 THE COURT: So is it true as to each of these 9 defendants that you are pleading quilty here this afternoon 10 because, in fact, you are guilty of the offense to which you 11 are entering your plea? 12 Is that true, Ms. McWhorter? 13 DEFENDANT B. McWHORTER: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy? 15 DEFENDANT A. KENNEDY: Yes, sir. 16 (Proceedings continued.) 17 THE COURT: Ms. Trombly, has anything come to your 18 attention that raises a question as to the competence of any 19 of these defendants to waive their right to a jury trial and 20 enter a plea of quilty? 21 MS. TROMBLY: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: The Court has observed the appearance 2.3 of each of these defendants and noted their responsiveness to 2.4 the questions asked of them by the Court. Based on the 2.5 answers given and the Court's observation, the Court makes

these findings:

2.3

2.4

2.5

Each of the defendants is in full possession of their faculties and they are competent to plead guilty.

Each of them is not under the influence of any narcotics, hallucinogens, alcohol, or other mind-altering substance.

They each understand the nature of the charge to which their respective plea is offered, as well as the maximum possible penalty provided by law.

Each waives his or her constitutional rights to a jury trial and the constitutional rights accorded all persons accused of a crime.

Each is aware of the Plea Agreement and Petition to

Enter a Guilty Plea made in their behalf. Each of the

defendants adopts the terms of their documents, including the

Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea and Plea Agreement.

The Court finds that each has knowingly offered to plead guilty voluntarily.

Finally, the Court finds that there is an independent basis in fact to support each of the pleas of guilty.

I would ask each of the lawyers to have their clients sign the *Petition to Enter a Guilty Plea*, as well as the *Plea Agreement*. And then sign -- excuse me, sign both of those documents in the space provided and then to initial each page of both documents.

Then if the lawyers would sign in the space provided by
them on both documents and pass it to Ms. Trombly for her
signature on both documents, as well.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: Before we conclude the guilty plea

THE COURT: Before we conclude the guilty plea hearing, do any of the defendants have any questions before we adjourn? Either of me or your lawyers.

(No response.)

THE COURT: The sentencing hearings will be set by separate order by the Court. As I say, it's usually in about 90 days. But we will set a separate hearing for each of you. Some of them may be combined, as we have here today, but I don't know that for a fact.

So if there's no other --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

MS. TROMBLY: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Pardon me.

MS. TROMBLY: Before we conclude, Your Honor, may I be heard briefly?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. TROMBLY: Your Honor, thank you.

At this time the Government would move the Court to seal each of the *Plea Agreements* in this case. The Government has received strong evidence of potential threats being received by several of these defendants. And what may not amount to threats is certainly witness tampering.

And we have concerns that between now -- the gap between now and any trial that may or may not take place with other co-defendants or the other co-defendant, we're very concerned that that type of behavior might continue. Because of that, we would move that these documents be sealed to protect each of these individuals. THE COURT: All right. That motion will be granted. MS. TROMBLY: Thank you, Your Honor. (Proceedings continued.) THE COURT: If there's no other matters to come before the Court, then we'll stand adjourned. (Recess was taken at 3:30 p.m.) 2.1

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Dorothy Stiles, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, with offices at Nashville, do hereby certify:

That I reported on the Stenograph machine the proceedings held in open court on May 12, 2008, in the matter of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. ANTHONY W. KENNEDY, Case No. 3:07-CR-00159-3; that said excerpt of proceedings in connection with the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that the foregoing transcript (Pages 1 through 56) is a true and accurate record of said excerpt of proceedings.

This the 9th day of September 2009.

/s/ Dorothy Stiles, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter

2.1